

### Dear Member

# ACCESS JOINT COMMITTEE - MONDAY, 7 SEPTEMBER 2020

I am now able to enclose, for consideration at the ACCESS Joint Committee meeting on Monday, 7 September 2020, the following reports that were unavailable when the agenda was published.

# Agenda Item No

6	Communications (Pages 1 - 2) One additional addendum
9	Investment Performance (Pages 3 - 24) Updated version to correct formatting error in publication of main agenda
10	MHCLG - Pooling Submission (to follow) (Pages 25 - 36)

Link Presentation (to follow) (Pages 37 - 50)

Joel Cook

Clerk to the Joint Committee

03000 416892

15

Joel.cook@kent.gov.uk

Kent County Council



### Addendum to agenda item 6: Communications (cover report)

#### 5. Length of contract

- 5.1. The anticipated spend on external communications support is broadly up to £100k in the first 12 months and c. £60k in subsequent years. The current threshold, over which OJEU procedures are required is £189k.
- 5.2. It is proposed that, initially, a two-year contract is awarded. This would allow the initial procurement to take place on a basis other than the full OJEU procedure.

# 6. Approach

- 6.1. The National Frameworks initiative hosted by Norfolk County Council runs a series of effective frameworks for a number of aspects of LGPS activity. Crown Commercial Services also operate in this manner. At this time, however, neither have a framework in place for the provision of the type of communications specialists that we are seeking.
- 6.2. As a consequence, the ASU has been exploring a procurement avenue aimed at facilitating the timely procurement of the external communications support proposed within the Hymans Robertson paper.
- 6.3. ASU Host Authority Essex County Council has recently begun utilising the services of procurement specialists *Bloom* who are the UK's exclusive operator of the NEPRO3 (North East procurement) framework. They also recently onboarded Adira, the Welsh Government framework.
- 6.4. *Bloom's* category experts maintain extensive preferred supplier databases and can both assist with shortlisting as well as managing the procurement process via either direct awards or minicompetitions. Currently *Bloom* have in excess of 200 providers in the categories of Communications, Public Relations and Media Relations.
- 6.5. Pending the agreement of the Joint Committee to the recommendations within the Hymans Robertson paper, it is anticipated that using *Bloom* would allow a procurement to be completed prior to the next meeting of the Committee.
- 6.6. *Bloom's* fees for managing the procurement of external communication support for ACCESS are understood to be 5% of spend which is levied against the successful appointee, not the acquiring body.
- 6.7. The intended approach is therefore that the Interim Director of the ASU, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Committee:
  - liaises with Bloom and Hymans Robertson around the finalisation of a specification; and
  - engages *Bloom's* services in the procurement of external communications support.
- 6.8. The Committee is asked to note the intended approach to procuring external communications support.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3, 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3, 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3, 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

